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A number of 13-alkoxy milbemycin derivatives were synthesized to evaluate their anthelmintic activity. We report the strategy for developing a potent anthelmintic product, 13-[4-( $N$-methanesulfonyl- $N$-methylamino)-phenylethyloxy]milbemycin. The details of the "structure-activity relationships of those derivatives are also discussed.

Milbemycin derivatives are known as potent antiparasitic agents and have attracted a great deal of interest recently ${ }^{11}$. On the other hand, ivermectin, which is widely used as a potent parasiticide for livestock ${ }^{2}$, is known to possess similar activity to milbemycins against endoparasites. The structures of the milbemycin derivatives and ivermectin closely resemble each other, having a 16 -membered ring (Fig. 1), although ivermectin has higher activity than milbemycins.

This difference in the activity is due to the substituent at the 25 -position. It is known that the lipophilicity on the substituent at the 25 -position contributes to their anthelmintic activity (Table 1). Thus it seemed easier to synthesize the ivermectin derivatives than milbemycin derivatives to find more active products. However, owing to availability of substrates, we decided to develop new
milbemycin derivatives, which have as strong activity as ivermectin. As it is also known that the substituent at the 13-position strongly influences the activity ${ }^{3)}$, a great number of substituents at the 13-position, which overcome the disadvantage of the substituent at the 25 -position, have been examined. Evaluations of 13 -halomilbemycin ${ }^{4,5)}$, 13-alkylmilbemycin ${ }^{6,7)}$, and 13-acyloxymilbemycin ${ }^{8,9)}$ have already been reported. According to those studies, the 13-acyloxymilbemycins have quite strong activity and are thus very attractive, but the ester bond seems to be susceptible to esterase in vivo, producing the inactive 13-hydroxymilbemycin. That is why we aimed our research at 13-alkoxymilbemycins, which have an ether bond in place of the susceptible ester bond at the 13-position. The 13-alkoxymilbemycins also turned out to have strong anthelmintic activity, as reported in our previous

Fig. 1. The structures of milbemycins and ivermectin.


[^0]Table 1. The relationships between the lipophilicity on the substituent at the 25 -position $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ and activity.

| $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Dose (mg/kg) | Percent inhibition of growth <br> of $N$. brasiliensis in the rats. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | Me | 1 | $47.3 \%$ |
| H | Et | 1 | $81.8 \%$ |
| H | $\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 1 | $97.6 \%$ |
| H | $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 0.25 | $86.7 \%$ |

Scheme 1.

a) $\mathrm{HgI}_{2}$, 2,6-Lutidine, Dichloroethane, b) $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Dichloroethane, c) $\mathrm{CuI}^{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$, Dichloromethane
paper ${ }^{10)}$. Following this research, further detail of the structure-activity relationships was examined and 13-(4substituted phenyletyloxy)milbemycins, especially 13-[4( $N$-methanesulfonyl- $N$-methylamino)-phenylethyloxy]-
milbemycin (1), proved to possess considerably high and efficient activity. That is, we finally found an ideal derivative.

We report the strategy for developing the series of
derivatives to find an efficient and effective product and also describe the details of their structure-activity relationships.

## Chemistry

Using our method already reported in previous papers ${ }^{10,11)}$, a number of derivatives was synthesized from milbemycin $\quad \mathrm{A}_{4}$ via 13 -iodomilbemycin (2) ${ }^{10)}$ or 15 -hydroxy-5-oxomilbemycin (3) ${ }^{11)}$ (Scheme 1).

## Biological Results and Discussion

The activity of this series of 13-alkoxymilbemycin derivatives was evaluated by oral administration to rats infected with Nippostrongylus brasiliensis by use of the method described in the previous paper.

Firstly, the length of the carbon chain between the benzene ring and the oxygen atom (Fig. 2, position A) was examined (Table 2). Those results showed that the efficacy was the strongest when the number of the carbon chain was two $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{6})$. The efficacy clearly decreased when the chain was shorter or longer. In addition, this tendency was not dependent on the substituent at the benzene ring. Thus, the length of the carbon chain was fixed as two.

Secondly, substitution on the carbon chain was examined
chain, the efficacy decreased $(\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8})$. When the chain had a ring formation $(\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0})$, the efficacy did not change much compared to the straight chain. Regarding its availability and its facility in synthesis, the straight chain was chosen as a candidate.

Thirdly, the position of the substituent on the benzene ring (Fig. 2, position B) was examined (Table 4). The activity of the derivatives was maximized when the substituent on the benzene ring was at the $p$-position (4, 11). An amino (or substituted amino) group was chosen as a candidate to provide a greater number of potential derivatives for pursuing an ideal compound, although there did not seems to much difference in activity between the

Fig. 2. The examined position in the milbemycin derivative.


Table 2. Antiparasitic acitivity of derivatives which vary in the length of the carbon chain at position A in Fig. 2.

| Compound | Structure | n | Efficacy (\%) ${ }^{*}$ at dose rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0.125 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0.063 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 12 |  | 1 | NT | 0.4 | 12.3 |
| 4 |  | 2 | 98.5 | 92.3 | NT |
| 13 |  | 3 | NT | 32.8 | 38.7 |
| 14 |  | 1 | 61.2 | 0 | NT |
| 5 |  | 2 | 99.8 | 98.1 | NT |
| 15 |  | 3 | NT | 8.5 | 0 |
| 16 |  | 1 | 15.1 | 7.8 | 4.3 |
| 6 |  | 2 | 96.7 | 68.1 | NT |
| 17 |  | 3 | NT | 59.5 | 27.9 |

[^1]Table 3. Antiparasitic activity of derivatives which vary in the substituent at position A in Fig. 2.

| Compound | Structure | Efficacy (\%) ${ }^{\text {* }}$ at dose rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0.125 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 7 |  | 82.3 | 29.9 |
| 8 |  | 27.2 | 16.8 |
| 9 |  <br> isomer A | NT | 95.9 |
| 10 |  <br> isomer B | NT | 98.6 |
| 18 |  | 73.5 | 44.3 |
| 19 |  | 86.7 | 27.8 |
| 20 |  | 98.4 | 81.6 |

* : Percent inhibition of growth of $N$. brasiliensis in rats.

NT: not tested.

Table 4. Antiparasitic activity of derivatives which vary in the position of the substituent on the benzene ring at position B in Fig. 2.

| Percent inhibition of growth of N.brasiliensis in rats at a dose of $0.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Structure | Position |  |  |
|  | $o$ - | m- | $p$ - |
|  | 21 | 22 | 4 |
|  | 33.8 | 0 | 98.5 |
|  | 23 | 24 | 11 |
|  | 49 | 85.6 | 98.6 |

Table 5. Antiparasitic activity of derivatives which vary in the substituent on the benzene ring at position C in Fig. 2.

|  | Structure | Efficacy (\%)* at dose rates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $0.125 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0.063 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $0.032 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 1 |  | 100 | 99 | NT |
| 6 |  | 68.1 | NT | NT |
| 11 |  | 93.3 | 89.0 | 66.4 |
| 25 |  | 99.7 | 94.5 | 80.3 |
| 26 |  | 100 | 90.7 | 87.8 |
| 27 |  | 100 | 98.9 | 94.6 |
| 28 |  | 92.8 | 66.9 | NT |

* : Percent inhibition of growth of $N$. brasiliensis in rats.

NT: not tested.
amino group and the methoxy group (Table 2, compound 4 versus 5).

Lastly, the various $N$-substituted aminophenylethyloxy derivatives were examined (Fig. 2, position C) (Table 5). From the evaluation, $N$-methanesulfonyl $-N$-methylaminophenylethyloxymilbemycin (1) turned out to possess considerably high and efficient activity.

## Experimental

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a JNM GSX-400 spectrometer using TMS as the internal standard. Mass spectra were obtained on a JOEL FABmate.

4-( $N$-Methanesulfonyl- $N$-methylamino) phenethyl Alcohol (1)
(1) 4-Aminophenylethyloxy- $t$-butyldimethylsilane

4-Nitrophenethyl alcohol $(10.02 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DMF ( 70 ml ), imidazole ( $5.44 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $t$-butyldimethylsilyl chloride $(12.08 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc $(500 \mathrm{ml})$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $90 \% \mathrm{AcOH}(300 \mathrm{ml})$, then the solution was cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and zinc dust ( 30 g ) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 minutes, and then the mixture was diluted with EtOAc $(700 \mathrm{ml})$ and filtered. The filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue
was chromatographed on silica gel with the eluent (EtOAc : cyclohexane $=1: 3$ ) to obtain 4-aminophenyl-ethyloxy-t-butyl dimethyl silane ( $12.55 \mathrm{~g}, 83.2 \%$ yield).
(2) 4-( $N$-Methansulfonyl- $N$-methylamino)phenethyl Alcohol

4-Aminophenylethyloxy $t$-butyldimethyl silane was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 20 ml ), pyridine ( 2.0 ml ) and methanesulfonyl chloride $(1.63 \mathrm{ml}, 21 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc , then washed with $1 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ again, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $N$-methylpyrolidone ( 100 ml ), iodomethane ( $1.56 \mathrm{ml}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium hydride ( $55 \%$, $873 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was poured into cold diluted HCl , extracted with EtOAc , and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in MeOH ( 50 ml ), p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( 50 mg ) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 200 ml ), washed with $4 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was crystallized from EtOAc and hexane to obtain 2-[4-( $N$-methansulfonyl- $N$-methylamino)phenyl]ethanol ( $1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 58.8 \%$ yield).

13-[4-( $N$-Methanesulfonyl- $N$-methylamino)phenylethyl-oxy]-5-hydroxymilbemycin (1)
(1) 13-[4-( $N$-Methansulfonyl- $N$-methylamino)phenyl-ethyloxy]-5-oxomilbemycin

4-( $N$-Methansulfonyl- N -methylamino)phenethyl alcohol $(2.75 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 25 ml ), copper(I) iodide $(480 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 2.52 \mathrm{mmol})$, trifluoromethanesulfonic acid $(0.35 \mathrm{ml}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 15-hydroxy-5-oxomilbemycin $(1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})^{11)}$ were added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 25 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and filtered. The filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice, $4 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ again, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. To remove the remaining $4-$ ( $N$-methansulfonyl- $N$-methylamino)phenethyl alcohol as a crystal, the residue was crystallized from EtOAc and cyclohexane and filtered. Then the filtrate was chromatographed on silica gel with the eluent (EtOAc: cyclohexane $=35: 65$ ) to obtain 13-[4-( $N$ -methansulfonyl- $N$-methylamino)phenylethyloxy]-5-oxomilbemycin $(1.79 \mathrm{~g}, 95.2 \%$ yield).
(2) 13-[4-( $N$-Methanesulfonyl- N -methylamino)phenyl-
etyloxy]-5-hydroxymilbemycin
Sodium borohydride $(1.20 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in a mixture of THF ( 50 ml ) and $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{ml})$, and the mixture was stirred at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. Then 13-[4( $N$-methansulfonyl- $N$-methylamino)phenylethyloxy]-5oxomilbemycin ( $10.8 \mathrm{~g}, 14.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and boron trifluoride ethyl ether complex $(0.06 \mathrm{ml})$ were added and the mixture was stirred at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 35 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with acetone $(20 \mathrm{ml})$, warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then EtOAc was added. The solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ three times, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was crystallized from EtOAc and hexane to obtain $1(8.20 \mathrm{~g})$ as crystals. Then the filtrate was chromatographed on silica gel with the eluent $(\mathrm{EtOAc}:$ hexane $=2: 1)$ to retrieve additional $1(0.887 \mathrm{~g})$ from the filtrate. Thus, the total amount of 1 was 9.09 g (84.0\% yield).

13-(4-Aminophenylethyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin (4)
(1) 13-(4-Nitrophenyletyloxy)-5-oxomilbemycin

4-Nitrophenethyl alcohol $(4.35 \mathrm{~g}, 26.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 25 ml ), copper(I) iodide $(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 5.51 \mathrm{mmol})$, trifluoromethanesulfonic acid $(0.77 \mathrm{ml})$, and a solution of 15 -hydroxy-5-oxomilbemycin ( 5.35 mmol ) in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 5 ml ) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 25 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ again, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with the eluent (EtOAc : cyclohexane $=1: 3$ ) to obtain 13-(4-nitrophenyletyloxy)-5-oxomilbemycin $\quad(3.12 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 82.5 \%$ yield).
(2) 13-(4-Aminophenylethyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin (4)

13-(4-Nitrophenyletyloxy)-5-oxomilbemycin $\quad(1.685 \mathrm{~g}$, 2.43 mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(33 \mathrm{ml})$ and cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then sodium borohydride ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc , washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{AcOH}(15 \mathrm{ml})$ and zinc dust $(1.5 \mathrm{~g})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 minutes in a water bath. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and filtered. The filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ three times, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on ODS with the eluent $(80 \%$ acetonitrile) to obtain $4(1.39 \mathrm{~g}, 86.2 \%$ yield $)$.

13-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyletyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin (5)
(1) 13-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyletyloxy)-5-oxomilbemycin

13-Iodomilbemycin ( $333 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane $(2.5 \mathrm{ml})$, 3,4-dimethoxyphenetyl alcohol $(911.0 \mathrm{mg}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and silver oxide $(1.0 \mathrm{~g})$ were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with the eluent (EtOAc: cyclohexane $=1: 4$ ) to obtain 13- $(3,4-$ dimethoxyphenyletyloxy)-5-oxomilbemycin ( 113.3 mg , $31.4 \%$ yield).
(2) 13-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyletyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin (5)

13-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenetyloxy)-5-oxomilbemycin $(113.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.157 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3.7 \mathrm{ml})$ and the solution was cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sodium borohydride $(6.3 \mathrm{mg})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with the eluent (EtOAc : cyclohexane $=35: 65$ ) to obtain 5 ( $69.0 \mathrm{mg}, 60.8 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.79$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 6.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.70 \sim 5.84\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.58$ and $4.78(2 \mathrm{H}$, AB-q, $\left.J=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.29\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.98\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}-\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 3.96\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.87$ and $3.86\left(6 \mathrm{H}\right.$, two-s, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.58\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.53$ and $3.30\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.22\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.05\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 1.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.51\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{14}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-[4-(Acetylamino)phenylethyloxy]-5-hydroxymilbemycin (6)

Derivative $4(304 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dichloromethane $(2.0 \mathrm{ml})$, acetic anhydride $(0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine ( $44 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 minutes. EtOAc was added to the reaction mixture and the solution was washed with 0.5 m citric acid, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with the eluent (EtOAc: cyclohexane $=1: 1$ ) to obtain $6(280 \mathrm{mg}, 86.7 \%$ yield): MS $m / z=719\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40(2 \mathrm{H}$,
d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 7.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 7.10$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}-\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.16\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $4.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.30\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.99\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7}-\right.$ $\mathrm{OH}), 3.95\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.28\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.20\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.06\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.80$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 2.17\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}$, $\left.J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-(2-Methoxy-2-phenylethyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin (7)

Derivative 7 was prepared from 13-iodomilbemycin and 2-methoxy-2-phenylethanol in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 5: MS $m / z=692\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.2 \sim 7.4(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\right.$ H), $5.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 3.96\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.55\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{17^{-}}\right.$ H), $3.33\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.03\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 25-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $0.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-(2-Hydroxy-2-phenylethyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin (8)
(1) 13-[2-Phenyl-2-(tetrahydro-pyran-2-yloxy)ethyloxy]-5oxomilbemycin

13-Iodomilbemycin ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 2.5 ml ), 2-phenyl-2-(tetrahydropyran-2-yloxy)ethanol ( $836 \mathrm{mg}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,6-lutidine ( 0.09 ml , 0.78 mmol ), and mercury(II) iodide ( $511 \mathrm{mg}, 1.125 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 90 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and filtered. The filtrate was washed with $20 \%$ sodium iodide twice, $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ citric acid, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with the eluent (EtOAc : hexane $=1: 4$ ) to obtain 13-[2-phenyl-2-(tetrahydro-pyran-2-yloxy)-ethoxy]-5-oxomilbemycin ( $349 \mathrm{mg}, 52.9 \%$ yield).
(2) 13-(2-Hydroxy-2-phenylethyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin. (8)

13-[2-Phenyl-2-(tetrahydropyran-2-yloxy)-ethyloxy]-5oxomilbemycin ( $345 \mathrm{mg}, 0.392 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{ml})$, $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $74.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.392 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 17 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with $4 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with the eluent (EtOAc: hexane $=4: 6$ ) to obtain 13-(2-hydroxy-

2-phenylethyloxy)-5-oxomilbemycin. A part of the residue $(178 \mathrm{mg}, 0.234 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(7.0 \mathrm{ml})$, cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then sodiumborohydride ( 9.4 mg ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc , washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on ODS, with the eluent ( $80 \%$ acetonitrile) to obtain $\mathbf{8}(173 \mathrm{mg}$, quantitative): MS $m / z=660\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.25 \sim 7.40$ $(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.18\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $4.88(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.0$ and $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PhCH}), 4.83(0.5 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=3.3$ and $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PhCH}), 4.69\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.29(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.00\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}-\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 3.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.56\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.3$ and $\left.9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.25 \sim 3.43\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}_{13}-$ $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.24\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.17(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.04\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.13\left(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.12(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $0.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-\{5-[( $N$-Methylcarbamoyl)-amino]indan-2-yloxy \}-5hydroxymilbemycin (9) and (10)
(1) 13-(5-Nitro-2-indanyloxy)-5-oxomilbemycin

13-Iodomilbemycin ( $620 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 10 ml ), 5-nitro-indan-2-ol $(895 \mathrm{mg}$, 5.0 mmol ) and mercury(II) iodide ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 minutes. Then 2,6 -lutidine $(0.12 \mathrm{ml})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and filtered. The filtrate was washed with $20 \%$ potassium iodide twice, $10 \%$ $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 0.5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ again, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with the eluent (EtOAc : cyclohexane $=1: 3$ ) to obtain 13-(5-nitro-2indanyloxy) 5 -oxomilbemycin ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 52.5 \%$ yield).
(2) 13-(5-Amino-2-indanyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin

13-(5-Nitro-2-indanyloxy)-5-oxomilbemycin ( 350 mg , 0.487 mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(6.5 \mathrm{ml})$, the solution was cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sodiumborohydride $(18 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.476 mmol ) was added and the mixture was stirred at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $90 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$ ( 3.5 ml ) and zinc dust ( 350 mg ) was added to the solution and the mixture was stirred for 20 minutes in a water bath. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ three times, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue
was chromatographed on ODS with the eluent ( $80 \%$ acetonitrile) to obtain 13(5-amino-2-indanyloxy)-5hydroxymilbemycin ( $261 \mathrm{mg}, 77.8 \%$ yield).
(3) 13-\{5-[(N-Methylcarbamoyl)-amino]indan-2yloxy $\}$-5-hydroxymilbemycin (9) and (10)

13-(5-Amino-2-indanyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin $(130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.189 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane $(1.5 \mathrm{ml})$, methyl isocyanate $(0.189 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 90 minutes. Then the reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on ODS with the eluent ( $80 \%$ acetonitrile) to obtain $9(24 \mathrm{mg})$ : MS $m / z=715$ $\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.90 \sim 7.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-$ H), $6.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}-\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.41\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.70 \sim 4.67\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{q}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.01$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 3.96\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.36\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.27\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $2.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.88\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.03$. $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; and $\mathbf{1 0}(25 \mathrm{mg})$ MS $m / z=715\left(\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $6.90 \sim 7.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 6.19$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}-\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 5.41 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.24\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.70 \sim 4.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{q}$, $\left.J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.01\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 3.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.60\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.27\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.88\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $0.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-[2-(4-N-Methylcarbamoylaminophenyl)ethyloxy]-5hydroxymilbemycin (11)

Derivative 11 was prepared from 4 in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 9-(3).

13-(4-Aminobenzyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin (12)
(1) 13-(4-Nitrobenzyloxy)-5-oxomilbemycin

4-Nitrobenzyl alcohol ( $2.30 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), mercury(II) iodide $(2.06 \mathrm{~g}, 4.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2,6 -lutidine $(0.36 \mathrm{ml}$, 3.09 mmol ) were added to a solution of 13-iodomilbemycin $(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})^{10)}$ in 1,2 -dichloroethane $(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 90 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with $20 \% \mathrm{KI}$ twice, $10 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 0.5 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel with the eluent $($ EtOAc : dichloromethane $=15: 85)$ to obtain 13-(4-nitrobenzyloxy)-5-oxomilbemycin ( $1.918 \mathrm{~g}, 92.4 \%$ yield).
(2) 13-(4-Nitrobenzyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin

13-(4-Nitrobenzyloxy)-5-oxomilbemycin (1.918 g,
2.77 mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(37 \mathrm{ml})$ and cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then sodium borohydride ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc , washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on ODS with the eluent ( $85 \%$ acetonitrile) to obtain 13-(4-nitrobenzyloxy)-5hydroxymilbemycin ( $1.432 \mathrm{~g}, 74.6 \%$ yield).
(3) 13-(4-Aminobenzyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin (12)

13-(4-Nitrobenzyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin $\quad(1.2 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.73 mmol ) was dissolved in $90 \% \mathrm{AcOH}(12 \mathrm{ml})$, zinc dust $(1.2 \mathrm{~g})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 minutes in a water bath. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and filtered. The filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ four times, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was .chromatographed on ODS with the eluent ( $80 \%$ acetonitrile) to obtain 12 ( $1.092 \mathrm{~g}, 94.9 \%$ yield): MS $m / z=663\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 6.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $4.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.34$ and $4.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{q}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 4.28\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.96\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 3.95$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.65\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}-\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.60(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.30\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.27\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-\right.$ H), $3.09\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ddd, $J=2.6,8.8$, and $\left.8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.87$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.06\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

13-[3-(4-Aminophenyl)propyloxy]-5-hydroxymilbemycin (13)

Derivative 13 was prepared from 15-hydroxy-5oxomilbemycin and 3-(4-nitrophenyl)-propylalcohol in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.96\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.19$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-(3,4-Dimethoxybenzyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin (14)

Derivative 14 was prepared from 13-iodomilbemycin and 3,4-dimethoxybenzyl alcohol in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 5: MS $m / z=708\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 6.83(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.69\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.40$ and $4.13\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{q}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 3.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.70\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.59\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.33\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.26\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.09$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-[3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)propyloxy]-5-hydroxymilbemycin (15)
Derivative 15 was prepared from 13-iodomilbemycin and 3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)propyl alcohol in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 14: MS $m / z=736$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.70 \sim 6.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.41$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.69\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.41\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $4.03\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.87$ and $3.86(6 \mathrm{H}$, two-s, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.58\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.28\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.20(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\left.J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.88\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{H}\right), 0.99\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $0.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## 13-[4-(Acetylamino)benzyloxy]-5-hydroxymilbemycin

 (16)Derivative 16 was prepared from 12 in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 6: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 0.84\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-24 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}-25 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.08\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-12 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.87$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18(3 \mathrm{H}$, s, acetyl H$), 3.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}-25$ H), $3.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}-17 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{H})$, $4.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}), 4.67$ and $4.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ABq}$, $J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-27 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 7.47$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H})$.

13-[3-(4-N-Acetylaminophenyl)propyloxy]-5-hydroxymilbemycin (17)

The derivative 17 was prepared from 13 in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 6 .

## 13-(2-Phenylethyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin (18)

Derivative 18 was prepared from 13-iodomilbemycin and phenethyl alcohol in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 5: MS $m / z=662\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.20 \sim 7.35(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.70 \sim 5.85\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}_{10}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.30(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.95\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.87\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 1.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

## 13-(1-Methyl-2-phenylethyloxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin

(19)

Derivative 19 was prepared from 13-iodomilbemycin and 1-methyl-2-phenylethanol in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 5: MS $m / z=676\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.10 \sim 7.40(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.68\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.66\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.28$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.95\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.94(0.5 \mathrm{H}$,
d, $\left.J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.34\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.28$ $\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.10\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.06$ $\left(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.84(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82\left(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-(2-Methyl-2-phenylethoxy)-5-hydroxymilbemycin (20)

Derivative 20 was prepared from 13-iodomilbemycin and 2-methyl-2-phenylethanol in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 5: MS $m / z=676\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.15 \sim 7.35(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.14\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.29$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.99\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 3.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.57\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.26\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.20(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\left.J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.06\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PhCHCH}_{3}\right), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $0.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-[2-(2-Aminophenyl)ethyloxy]-5-hydroxymilbemycin (21)

Derivative 21 was prepared from 15-hydroxy-5oxomilbemycin and 2-nitrophenethyl alcohol in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 4: MS $m / z=677\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.0 \sim 7.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-$ $\mathrm{H}), 6.7 \sim 6.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.7 \sim 5.8\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{9}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}_{10^{-}}$ H), $5.39\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.29\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right) 3.98\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}-\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 3.95$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.23\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.06\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 1.87$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

13-[2-(3-Aminophenyl)ethyloxy]-5-hydroxymilbemycin (22)

Derivative 22 was prepared from 15-hydroxy-5oxomilbemycin and 3-nitrophenethyl alcohol in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 4: MS $m / z=677\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H})$, $6.7 \sim 6.8(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.69$ and $4.66\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{q}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.29$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.95\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.06\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 1,87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.05\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-[2-(2- $N$-Methylcarbamoylaminophenyl)ethyloxy]-5hydroxymilbemycin (23)
The derivative 23 was prepared from 21 in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 9-(3): MS $m / z=703\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.0 \sim 7.5(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.15\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.67$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.29\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.96\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{OH}\right)$, $3.95\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.18\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\right.$ H), $3.05\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.84\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$, $1.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $0.97\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{t}, \quad J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).

13-[2(3-N-Methylcarbamoylaminophenyl)ethyloxy]-5hydroxymilbemycin (24)

Derivative 24 was prepared from 22 in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of $9-(3):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 7.07$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 6.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H})$, $6.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.16\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $4.29\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.00\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 3.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.21\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.06(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.03\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{H}\right), 0.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-[2-(4-Methoxycarbonylaminophenyl)ethyloxy]-5hydroxymilbemycin (25)

Derivative 25 was prepared from 4 and methyl chloroformate in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 6: MS $m / z=764\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 7.22(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.16\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{15^{-}}\right.$ H), $4.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.29\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.20\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.06\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}{ }^{-}\right.$ H), $2.83\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 1.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.03(3 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-[2-(4-Methanesulfonylaminophenyl)ethyloxy]-5hydroxymilbemycin (26)

Derivative 26 was prepared from 4 and methanesulfonyl chloride in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 6 .

13-[2-(4-Cyanoacetylaminophenyl)ethyloxy]-5-hydroxymilbemycin (27)

Cyanoacetic acid ( $42.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 2.5 ml ) and the solution was cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then pyridine $(0.05 \mathrm{ml})$, 2-chloroformyl-1,2,4-
triazolo[4,3-a]pyridin-3-one ${ }^{12)}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4 ( $203 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 90 minutes then at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an extra hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with $1 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ again, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on ODS with the eluent ( $80 \%$ acetonitrile) to obtain crude 27 . The crude was chromatographed on silica gel with the eluent (EtOAc: cyclohexane $=3: 1$ ) to obtain pure $27(120 \mathrm{mg}$, $53.7 \%$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.40$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 7.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H})$, $5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.17\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27^{-}}\right.$ H), $4.29\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.98\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 3.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.54\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NCCH}_{2}\right), 3.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.06\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 1.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.03\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

13-[2-(4-Methoxyacetylaminophenyl)ethyloxy]-5-hydroxymilbemycin (28)
Derivative 28 was prepared from 4 and methoxyacetyl chloride in a similar manner as that described for the preparation of 6: MS $m / z=749\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $8.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 7.17(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 5.40\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{15}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{27^{-}}\right.$ H), $4.29\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{5}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeOCH}), 4.00(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{OH}\right), 3.95\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.21\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{13}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.07\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{25}-\right.$ $\mathrm{H}), 2.81\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 1.87\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\left.J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{12}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{25^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d} J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{24}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

## Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Manami Uzawa for technical help in the syntheses for this study.

## References

1) Takiguchi, Y.; H. Mishima, M. Okuda, M. Terao, A. AOKi \& R. FUKUDA: Milbemycins, a new family of macrolide antibiotics: fermentation, isolation and physico-chemical properties. J. Antibiotics 33: 1120~1127, 1980
2) Chabala, J. C.; H. Mrozik, R. L. Tolman, P. Eskola, A. Lusi, L. H. Peterson, M. F. Woods \& M. H. Fisher: Ivermectin, a new broad-spectrum antiparasitic agent. J. Med. Chem. 23: 1134~1136, 1980
3) Mrozik, H.; B. O. Linn, P. Eskola, A. Lusi, A. Matzuk, F. A. Preiser, D. A. Ostlind, J. M. Schaeffer \& M. H. FISHER: Syntheses and biological activities of 13substituted avermectin aglycons. J. Med. Chem. 32: 375~381, 1989
4) Frei, B.; A. C. O'Sullivan \& P. Maienfisch (CibaGeigy AG): New 13-halo and 13-hydroxymilbemycin. Eur. Pat. 180 539, Sept. 12, 1985
5) Sato, K.; T. Yanai, N. Kitano, A. Nishida, B. Frei \& A. C. O'Sullivan (Sankyo. Co. Ltd.): 13 Halomilbemycin derivatives, their preparation and composition containing them. Eur. Pat. 203 832, May 30, 1986
6) Maiemfisch, P. \& A. C. O’Sullivan (Ciba-Geigy AG): Derivatives of 5-acyloxy-13-beta-alkyl milbemycin against parasites in animal and plants. Brit. GB 2187453 , Sept. 16, 1987
7) Gubler, K.; Y. Tsukamoto, K. Sato \& T. Yanai (CibaGeigy AG): 13-Beta-alkyl derivatives of s541-antibiotic for combating parasites in domestic animals and plants. Eur. Pat. 253 378, Jan. 20, 1988
8) Frei, B.; H. B. Mereyala, A. C. O’Sullivan, K. Sato \& T. Yanai (Ciba-Geigy AG): Pesticidal 13- $\beta$-substituted milbemycin derivatives. Brit. GB 2168 345, June 18, 1986
9) Frei, B. (Ciba-Geigy AG): Parasiticide and insecticide. Eur. Pat. 253 767, Jan. 20, 1988
10) Saito, A.; S. Naito, M. Kobayashi, M. Tsuchiya, T. Toyama, S. Kaneko, T. Nanba \& Y. Morisawa: Synthesis and anthelmintic activity of 13alkoxymilbemycin derivatives. J. Antibiotics 46: 1252~1264, 1993
11) Sugiyama, Y. \& A. Saito: Practical synthesis of 13substituted milbemycin. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 74: 1319~1325, 2001
12) Saito, A. \& B. Shimizu: Synthesis of mesoionic triazolopyridine. II. $N$-Acylation of 1,2,4-triazolo[4,3-a]pyridin-3(2H)-one. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 56: $2969 \sim 2973,1983$

[^0]:    * Corresponding author: akio@shina.sankyo.co.jp

[^1]:    * : Percent inhibition of growth of $N$. brasiliensis in rats.

    NT: not tested.

